

# 2D COMPUTER GRAPHICS

---

Diego Nehab

Summer 2020

IMPA

# INFLECTION POINTS AND DOUBLE POINTS

---

## COVARIANT AND CONTRAVARIANT TENSORS

A point  $P$  has coordinates  $[P]_F = [x \ y \ w]^T$  for some frame  $F$  in  $\mathbf{RP}^2$

Let  $G$  be the result of transforming  $F$  by  $T$

## COVARIANT AND CONTRAVARIANT TENSORS

A point  $P$  has coordinates  $[P]_F = [x \ y \ w]^T$  for some frame  $F$  in  $\mathbf{RP}^2$

Let  $G$  be the result of transforming  $F$  by  $T$

The coordinates  $[P]_G$  of  $P$  in  $G$  are  $T^* [P]_F$

$$F [P]_F = G [P]_G = F T [P]_G \Rightarrow [P]_G = T^* [P]_F$$

## COVARIANT AND CONTRAVARIANT TENSORS

A point  $P$  has coordinates  $[P]_F = [x \ y \ w]^T$  for some frame  $F$  in  $\mathbf{RP}^2$

Let  $G$  be the result of transforming  $F$  by  $T$

The coordinates  $[P]_G$  of  $P$  in  $G$  are  $T^* [P]_F$

$$F [P]_F = G [P]_G = F T [P]_G \Rightarrow [P]_G = T^* [P]_F$$

(In  $\mathbf{RP}^2$  the adjugate  $T^*$  is as good as the inverse)

## COVARIANT AND CONTRAVARIANT TENSORS

A point  $P$  has coordinates  $[P]_F = [x \ y \ w]^T$  for some frame  $F$  in  $\mathbf{RP}^2$

Let  $G$  be the result of transforming  $F$  by  $T$

The coordinates  $[P]_G$  of  $P$  in  $G$  are  $T^* [P]_F$

$$F [P]_F = G [P]_G = F T [P]_G \Rightarrow [P]_G = T^* [P]_F$$

(In  $\mathbf{RP}^2$  the adjugate  $T^*$  is as good as the inverse)

A line in  $L$  has coordinates  $[L]_F = [a \ b \ c]$  in  $F$

## COVARIANT AND CONTRAVARIANT TENSORS

A point  $P$  has coordinates  $[P]_F = [x \ y \ w]^T$  for some frame  $F$  in  $\mathbf{RP}^2$

Let  $G$  be the result of transforming  $F$  by  $T$

The coordinates  $[P]_G$  of  $P$  in  $G$  are  $T^* [P]_F$

$$F [P]_F = G [P]_G = F T [P]_G \Rightarrow [P]_G = T^* [P]_F$$

(In  $\mathbf{RP}^2$  the adjugate  $T^*$  is as good as the inverse)

A line in  $L$  has coordinates  $[L]_F = [a \ b \ c]$  in  $F$

Its coordinates in  $G$  are  $T [L]_F$

$$[L]_F [P]_F = 0 = [L]_F T [P]_G \Rightarrow [L]_G = [L]_F T$$

Lines as row-vectors and points as column vectors are confusing



## COVARIANT AND CONTRAVARIANT TENSORS

Lines as row-vectors and points as column vectors are confusing

What we really have is *point-like things* and *line-like things*

## COVARIANT AND CONTRAVARIANT TENSORS

Lines as row-vectors and points as column vectors are confusing

What we really have is *point-like things* and *line-like things*

Line-like things “co”-transform with the coordinate system.

## COVARIANT AND CONTRAVARIANT TENSORS

Lines as row-vectors and points as column vectors are confusing

What we really have is *point-like things* and *line-like things*

Line-like things “co”-transform with the coordinate system.

Point-like things “contra”-transform with the coordinate system.

## COVARIANT AND CONTRAVARIANT TENSORS

Lines as row-vectors and points as column vectors are confusing

What we really have is *point-like things* and *line-like things*

Line-like things “co”-transform with the coordinate system.

Point-like things “contra”-transform with the coordinate system.

Point-like things are *contravariant tensors*

## COVARIANT AND CONTRAVARIANT TENSORS

Lines as row-vectors and points as column vectors are confusing

What we really have is *point-like things* and *line-like things*

Line-like things “co”-transform with the coordinate system.

Point-like things “contra”-transform with the coordinate system.

Point-like things are *contravariant tensors*

Line-like (plane-like) things are *covariant tensors*

## EINSTEIN'S NOTATION

Coordinates of contravariant tensors use superscripts  $P = [p^1 \ p^2 \ p^3]$

## EINSTEIN'S NOTATION

Coordinates of contravariant tensors use superscripts  $P = [p^1 \ p^2 \ p^3]$

Coordinates of covariant tensors use subscripts  $L = [L_1 \ L_2 \ L_3]$

## EINSTEIN'S NOTATION

Coordinates of contravariant tensors use superscripts  $P = [P^1 \ P^2 \ P^3]$

Coordinates of covariant tensors use subscripts  $L = [L_1 \ L_2 \ L_3]$

The contraction between a covariant and a contravariant 1-tensor is the scalar product

$$P \cdot L = \sum_{i=1}^n P^i L_i$$



## EINSTEIN'S NOTATION

Coordinates of contravariant tensors use superscripts  $P = [P^1 \ P^2 \ P^3]$

Coordinates of covariant tensors use subscripts  $L = [L_1 \ L_2 \ L_3]$

The contraction between a covariant and a contravariant 1-tensor is the scalar product

$$P \cdot L = \sum_{i=1}^n P^i L_i$$

Whenever there is an expression with the same index name appearing as a subscript and a subscript, the summation sign is omitted

$$P^i L_i = P^1 L_1 + P^2 L_2 + P^3 L_3 = L_1 P^1 + L_2 P^2 + L_3 P^3 = L_i P^i$$

# TRANSFORMATIONS

A transformation matrix takes a line and returns a line, or takes a point and returns a point

# TRANSFORMATIONS

A transformation matrix takes a line and returns a line, or takes a point and returns a point

It has two indices, one covariant and one contravariant.

# TRANSFORMATIONS

A transformation matrix takes a line and returns a line, or takes a point and returns a point

It has two indices, one covariant and one contravariant.

It is a *mixed* 2-tensor

$$M_i^j P^i = Q^j$$

$$M_i^j L_j = R_i$$

# TRANSFORMATIONS

A transformation matrix takes a line and returns a line, or takes a point and returns a point

It has two indices, one covariant and one contravariant.

It is a *mixed* 2-tensor

$$M_i^j P^i = Q^j$$

$$M_i^j L_j = R_i$$

The covariant index transforms with  $T$  and the contravariant index transforms with  $T^*$

$$N_k^\ell = M_i^j T_k^i (T^*)_j^\ell$$

Note that in vanilla linear algebra, we only have mixed 2-tensors!

Note that in vanilla linear algebra, we only have mixed 2-tensors!

A conic is a purely covariant 2-tensor

$$Q_{ij}P^jP^i = 0$$

# CONICS

Note that in vanilla linear algebra, we only have mixed 2-tensors!

A conic is a purely covariant 2-tensor

$$Q_{ij}P^jP^i = 0$$

That's why conics are weird! Both covariant indices transform with  $T$

$$U_{k\ell} = Q_{ij}T_k^iT_\ell^j$$



## THE POLAR LINE

The polar line  $L$  to of a quadric with regard to a point  $P$

## THE POLAR LINE

The polar line  $L$  to of a quadric with regard to a point  $P$

$L$  connects the tangency points  $R, S$  of the two tangents to  $Q$  through  $P$

## THE POLAR LINE

The polar line  $L$  to of a quadric with regard to a point  $P$

$L$  connects the tangency points  $R, S$  of the two tangents to  $Q$  through  $P$

If  $P$  belongs to the conic,  $L$  it is the tangent to  $Q$  at  $P$

## THE POLAR LINE

The polar line  $L$  to of a quadric with regard to a point  $P$

$L$  connects the tangency points  $R, S$  of the two tangents to  $Q$  through  $P$

If  $P$  belongs to the conic,  $L$  it is the tangent to  $Q$  at  $P$

Its coordinates are simply  $L_j = Q_{ij}P^i$

## THE POLAR LINE

The polar line  $L$  to of a quadric with regard to a point  $P$

$L$  connects the tangency points  $R, S$  of the two tangents to  $Q$  through  $P$

If  $P$  belongs to the conic,  $L$  it is the tangent to  $Q$  at  $P$

Its coordinates are simply  $L_j = Q_{ij}P^i$

Proof

$$Q_{ij}R^iR^j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{ij}S^iS^j = 0$$

$$(Q_{ij}P^i)R^j = 0 \Leftrightarrow (Q_{ij}R^j)P^i = 0$$

$$(Q_{ij}P^i)S^j = 0 \Leftrightarrow (Q_{ij}S^j)P^i = 0$$

## DUALITY

The equation  $P^i L_j = 0$  can be interpreted as the set of points  $P$  that belong to a line  $L$ , or the set of lines  $L$  that go through a point  $P$

## DUALITY

The equation  $P^i L_i = 0$  can be interpreted as the set of points  $P$  that belong to a line  $L$ , or the set of lines  $L$  that go through a point  $P$

The dual conic is the purely contravariant adjugate 2-tensor  $Q^*$

## DUALITY

The equation  $P^i L_i = 0$  can be interpreted as the set of points  $P$  that belong to a line  $L$ , or the set of lines  $L$  that go through a point  $P$

The dual conic is the purely contravariant adjugate 2-tensor  $Q^*$

Adjugation flips the types of all indices

$$(Q^*)^{ij} L_i L_j = 0$$



# DUALITY

The equation  $P^i L_i = 0$  can be interpreted as the set of points  $P$  that belong to a line  $L$ , or the set of lines  $L$  that go through a point  $P$

The dual conic is the purely contravariant adjugate 2-tensor  $Q^*$

Adjugation flips the types of all indices

$$(Q^*)^{ij} L_i L_j = 0$$

It is the set of lines tangent to the primal conic

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{ij} P^i P^j &= (Q_{ij} (Q^*)^{ij}) Q_{ij} P^i P^j \\ &= (Q^*)^{ij} Q_{ij} P^i P^j \\ &= (Q^*)^{ij} L_i L_j = 0 \end{aligned}$$

## DUALITY

The equation  $P^i L_i = 0$  can be interpreted as the set of points  $P$  that belong to a line  $L$ , or the set of lines  $L$  that go through a point  $P$

The dual conic is the purely contravariant adjugate 2-tensor  $Q^*$

Adjugation flips the types of all indices

$$(Q^*)^{ij} L_i L_j = 0$$

It is the set of lines tangent to the primal conic

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{ij} P^i P^j &= (Q_{ij} (Q^*)^{ij}) Q_{ij} P^i P^j \\ &= (Q^*)^{ij} Q_{ij} P^i P^j \\ &= (Q^*)^{ij} L_i L_j = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Can you interpret the point  $P^j = (Q^*)^{ij} L_i$ ?

## GENERALIZED CROSS PRODUCT

Is a function  $\mathbf{cr}_n : (R^n)^{n-1} \rightarrow R^n$

$$L = \mathbf{cr}_n(\overbrace{P, Q, \dots, R}^{n-1}), \quad \text{with} \quad L_i P^j = L_i Q^j = \dots = L_i R^j = 0$$

## GENERALIZED CROSS PRODUCT

Is a function  $\mathbf{cr}_n : (R^n)^{n-1} \rightarrow R_n$

$$L = \mathbf{cr}_n(\overbrace{P, Q, \dots, R}^{n-1}), \quad \text{with} \quad L_i P^i = L_i Q^i = \dots = L_i R^i = 0$$

Receives  $n - 1$  contravariant 1-tensors in  $R^n$ , returns one covariant 1-tensor in  $(R_n)^*$  (or vice-versa).

## GENERALIZED CROSS PRODUCT

Is a function  $\mathbf{cr}_n : (R^n)^{n-1} \rightarrow R_n$

$$L = \mathbf{cr}_n(\overbrace{P, Q, \dots, R}^{n-1}), \quad \text{with} \quad L_i P^i = L_i Q^i = \dots = L_i R^i = 0$$

Receives  $n - 1$  contravariant 1-tensors in  $R^n$ , returns one covariant 1-tensor in  $(R_n)^*$  (or vice-versa).

Represents the “plane” that goes through all points, or the point of intersection of all planes

## GENERALIZED CROSS PRODUCT

Trick is to use look at the determinants

$$\begin{vmatrix} P & P & Q & \dots & R \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} Q & P & Q & \dots & R \end{vmatrix} = \dots = \begin{vmatrix} R & P & Q & \dots & R \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

## GENERALIZED CROSS PRODUCT

Trick is to use look at the determinants

$$\begin{vmatrix} P & P & Q & \dots & R \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} Q & P & Q & \dots & R \end{vmatrix} = \dots = \begin{vmatrix} R & P & Q & \dots & R \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

In each case, the minors relative to the first column do not depend on the first column.

## GENERALIZED CROSS PRODUCT

Trick is to use look at the determinants

$$\begin{vmatrix} P & P & Q & \dots & R \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} Q & P & Q & \dots & R \end{vmatrix} = \dots = \begin{vmatrix} R & P & Q & \dots & R \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

In each case, the minors relative to the first column do not depend on the first column.

In each case, the minors are the same.



## GENERALIZED CROSS PRODUCT

Trick is to use look at the determinants

$$\begin{vmatrix} P & P & Q & \dots & R \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} Q & P & Q & \dots & R \end{vmatrix} = \dots = \begin{vmatrix} R & P & Q & \dots & R \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

In each case, the minors relative to the first column do not depend on the first column.

In each case, the minors are the same.

Call each one  $L_j$ .

## GENERALIZED CROSS PRODUCT

Trick is to use look at the determinants

$$\begin{vmatrix} P & P & Q & \cdots & R \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} Q & P & Q & \cdots & R \end{vmatrix} = \cdots = \begin{vmatrix} R & P & Q & \cdots & R \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

In each case, the minors relative to the first column do not depend on the first column.

In each case, the minors are the same.

Call each one  $L_i$ .

The expansion shows, as required, that

$$L_i P^i = L_i Q^i = \cdots L_i R^i = 0.$$

## THE LEVI-CIVITA SYMBOL (EPSILON)

Is the fully alternating tensor

$$\varepsilon_{\dots i \dots i \dots} = 0$$

$$\varepsilon_{\pi(1)\pi(2)\dots\pi(n)} = \sigma(\pi)$$

## THE LEVI-CIVITA SYMBOL (EPSILON)

Is the fully alternating tensor

$$\varepsilon_{\dots i \dots i \dots} = 0$$

$$\varepsilon_{\pi(1)\pi(2)\dots\pi(n)} = \sigma(\pi)$$

Can be used to compactly represent the determinant

$$\begin{aligned}\det(A) &= \varepsilon_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} A^{1i_1} A^{2i_2} \dots A^{ni_n} \\ &= \sigma(\pi) \varepsilon_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} A^{\pi(1)i_1} A^{\pi(2)i_2} \dots A^{\pi(n)i_n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \varepsilon_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} \varepsilon_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_n} A^{i_1 j_1} A^{i_2 j_2} \dots A^{i_n j_n}\end{aligned}$$

# THE LEVI-CIVITA SYMBOL (EPSILON)

Is the fully alternating tensor

$$\varepsilon_{\dots i \dots i \dots} = 0$$

$$\varepsilon_{\pi(1)\pi(2)\dots\pi(n)} = \sigma(\pi)$$

Can be used to compactly represent the determinant

$$\begin{aligned}\det(A) &= \varepsilon_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} A^{1i_1} A^{2i_2} \dots A^{ni_n} \\ &= \sigma(\pi) \varepsilon_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} A^{\pi(1)i_1} A^{\pi(2)i_2} \dots A^{\pi(n)i_n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \varepsilon_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} \varepsilon_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_n} A^{i_1 j_1} A^{i_2 j_2} \dots A^{i_n j_n}\end{aligned}$$

Can be used to compactly represent the cross product

$$(\mathbf{cr}_2(P))_j = P^i \varepsilon_{ij} \quad (\mathbf{cr}_3(P, Q))_k = P^i Q^j \varepsilon_{ijk} \quad (\mathbf{cr}_4(P, Q, R))_\ell = P^i Q^j R^k \varepsilon_{ijkl}$$

# THE LEVI-CIVITA SYMBOL (EPSILON)

Is the fully alternating tensor

$$\varepsilon_{\dots i \dots i \dots} = 0$$

$$\varepsilon_{\pi(1)\pi(2)\dots\pi(n)} = \sigma(\pi)$$

Can be used to compactly represent the determinant

$$\begin{aligned}\det(A) &= \varepsilon_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} A^{1i_1} A^{2i_2} \dots A^{ni_n} \\ &= \sigma(\pi) \varepsilon_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} A^{\pi(1)i_1} A^{\pi(2)i_2} \dots A^{\pi(n)i_n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \varepsilon_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} \varepsilon_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_n} A^{i_1 j_1} A^{i_2 j_2} \dots A^{i_n j_n}\end{aligned}$$

Can be used to compactly represent the cross product

$$(\mathbf{cr}_2(P))_j = P^i \varepsilon_{ij} \quad (\mathbf{cr}_3(P, Q))_k = P^i Q^j \varepsilon_{ijk} \quad (\mathbf{cr}_4(P, Q, R))_\ell = P^i Q^j R^k \varepsilon_{ijkl}$$

If you contract with any of the arguments, you get a determinant of a matrix with repeated column

## EPSILON-DELTA RULE

Useful relationship between Levi-Civita epsilon and Kronecker delta

$$\varepsilon_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n} \varepsilon^{\ell_1 \ell_2 \dots \ell_n} = \det([\delta_{k_i}^{\ell_j}]_{ij})$$

## EPSILON-DELTA RULE

Useful relationship between Levi-Civita epsilon and Kronecker delta

$$\varepsilon_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n} \varepsilon^{\ell_1 \ell_2 \dots \ell_n} = \det([\delta_{k_i}^{\ell_j}]_{ij})$$

If any indices repeat on either epsilon, you have zero on the left. On the right, you have either a repeated column or a repeated row. Either way, the determinant is also zero.



## EPSILON-DELTA RULE

Useful relationship between Levi-Civita epsilon and Kronecker delta

$$\varepsilon_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n} \varepsilon^{\ell_1 \ell_2 \dots \ell_n} = \det([\delta_{k_i}^{\ell_j}]_{ij})$$

If any indices repeat on either epsilon, you have zero on the left. On the right, you have either a repeated column or a repeated row. Either way, the determinant is also zero.

If no indices repeat on either epsilon, you have the product of the signs of the permutations on the left. On the right, the matrix is an identity matrix with rows and columns permuted in the same way. The determinant is also the product of the signs of the permutations.

# EPSILON-DELTA RULE

A couple special cases

$$\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon^{i\ell} = \delta_j^\ell$$

$$\varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon^{imn} = \delta_j^m \delta_k^n - \delta_j^n \delta_k^m$$

## EPSILON-DELTA RULE

A couple special cases

$$\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon^{i\ell} = \delta_j^\ell$$

$$\varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon^{imn} = \delta_j^m \delta_k^n - \delta_j^n \delta_k^m$$

Useful to prove the relationship

$$A \times (B \times C) = (A \cdot C)B - (A \cdot B)C$$

# EPSILON-DELTA RULE

A couple special cases

$$\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon^{i\ell} = \delta_j^\ell$$

$$\varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon^{imn} = \delta_j^m \delta_k^n - \delta_j^n \delta_k^m$$

Useful to prove the relationship

$$A \times (B \times C) = (A \cdot C)B - (A \cdot B)C$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} A_m(B^j C^k \varepsilon_{jki})\varepsilon^{min} &= A_m B^j C^k (-\varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon^{imn}) \\ &= A_m B^j C^k (\delta_j^n \delta_k^m - \delta_j^m \delta_k^n) \\ &= A_m B^j C^k \delta_j^n \delta_k^m - A_m B^j C^k \delta_j^m \delta_k^n \\ &= (A_m C^k \delta_k^m)(B^j \delta_j^n) - (A_m B^j \delta_j^m)(C^k \delta_k^n) \\ &= (A_m C^m)B^n - (A_m B^m)C^n \end{aligned}$$

## LAGRANGE'S IDENTITY

Also from epsilon-delta rule

$$\begin{aligned}(A \times B) \cdot (C \times D) &= (A \cdot C)(B \cdot D) - (A \cdot D)(B \cdot C) \\ &= \det \left( \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C & D \end{bmatrix} \right)\end{aligned}$$

## LAGRANGE'S IDENTITY

Also from epsilon-delta rule

$$\begin{aligned}(A \times B) \cdot (C \times D) &= (A \cdot C)(B \cdot D) - (A \cdot D)(B \cdot C) \\ &= \det \left( \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C & D \end{bmatrix} \right)\end{aligned}$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}A_i B_j \varepsilon^{jik} C^m D^n \varepsilon_{mnk} &= A_i B_j C^m D^n (\varepsilon^{kji} \varepsilon_{kmn}) \\ &= A_i B_j C^m D^n (\delta_n^j \delta_m^i - \delta_m^j \delta_n^i) \\ &= A_i B_j C^m D^n \delta_n^j \delta_m^i - A_i B_j C^m D^n \delta_m^j \delta_n^i \\ &= (A_m C^m)(B_n D^n) - (A_n D^n)(B_m C^m)\end{aligned}$$

## LAGRANGE'S IDENTITY

Also from epsilon-delta rule

$$\begin{aligned}(A \times B) \cdot (C \times D) &= (A \cdot C)(B \cdot D) - (A \cdot D)(B \cdot C) \\ &= \det \left( \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C & D \end{bmatrix} \right)\end{aligned}$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}A_i B_j \varepsilon^{jik} C^m D^n \varepsilon_{mnk} &= A_i B_j C^m D^n (\varepsilon^{kji} \varepsilon_{kmn}) \\ &= A_i B_j C^m D^n (\delta_n^j \delta_m^i - \delta_m^j \delta_n^i) \\ &= A_i B_j C^m D^n \delta_n^j \delta_m^i - A_i B_j C^m D^n \delta_m^j \delta_n^i \\ &= (A_m C^m)(B_n D^n) - (A_n D^n)(B_m C^m)\end{aligned}$$

General case is also true! (We will use this shortly)

## INFLECTION POINTS

Let  $\gamma$  be a rational curve

$$\gamma(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x(t) & y(t) \end{bmatrix}^T$$
$$x(t) = \frac{u(t)}{w(t)} \quad y(t) = \frac{v(t)}{w(t)}$$



## INFLECTION POINTS

Let  $\gamma$  be a rational curve

$$\gamma(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x(t) & y(t) \end{bmatrix}^T$$
$$x(t) = \frac{u(t)}{w(t)} \quad y(t) = \frac{v(t)}{w(t)}$$

When curvature changes sign, i.e., speed and acceleration are collinear

$$\gamma'(t) \times \gamma''(t) = 0$$

# INFLECTION POINTS

Let  $\gamma$  be a rational curve

$$\gamma(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x(t) & y(t) \end{bmatrix}^T$$
$$x(t) = \frac{u(t)}{w(t)} \quad y(t) = \frac{v(t)}{w(t)}$$

When curvature changes sign, i.e., speed and acceleration are collinear

$$\gamma'(t) \times \gamma''(t) = 0$$

Same as condition

$$\begin{vmatrix} \alpha(t) & \alpha'(t) & \alpha''(t) \end{vmatrix} = 0, \quad \text{with}$$
$$\alpha(t) = \begin{bmatrix} u(t) & v(t) & w(t) \end{bmatrix}^T$$

## QUADRATICS CANNOT HAVE INFLECTIONS

$$\text{Let } B_2(t) = \left[ (1-t)^2 \quad 2t(1-t) \quad t^2 \right]^T$$

## QUADRATICS CANNOT HAVE INFLECTIONS

$$\text{Let } B_2(t) = \left[ (1-t)^2 \quad 2t(1-t) \quad t^2 \right]^T$$

Then,

$$\left| \alpha(t) \quad \alpha'(t) \quad \alpha''(t) \right| = \left| \begin{bmatrix} p_0 & p_1 & p_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_2(t) & B_2'(t) & B_2''(t) \end{bmatrix} \right|$$

## QUADRATICS CANNOT HAVE INFLECTIONS

$$\text{Let } B_2(t) = \left[ (1-t)^2 \quad 2t(1-t) \quad t^2 \right]^T$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \alpha(t) \quad \alpha'(t) \quad \alpha''(t) \right| &= \left| \begin{bmatrix} p_0 & p_1 & p_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_2(t) & B_2'(t) & B_2''(t) \end{bmatrix} \right| \\ &= 4 \left| p_0 \quad p_1 \quad p_2 \right| \end{aligned}$$

## QUADRATICS CANNOT HAVE INFLECTIONS

$$\text{Let } B_2(t) = \begin{bmatrix} (1-t)^2 & 2t(1-t) & t^2 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \alpha(t) \quad \alpha'(t) \quad \alpha''(t) \right| &= \left| \begin{bmatrix} p_0 & p_1 & p_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_2(t) & B_2'(t) & B_2''(t) \end{bmatrix} \right| \\ &= 4 \left| p_0 \quad p_1 \quad p_2 \right| \end{aligned}$$

So “inflection” only when control points are linearly dependent

## QUADRATICS CANNOT HAVE INFLECTIONS

$$\text{Let } B_2(t) = \begin{bmatrix} (1-t)^2 & 2t(1-t) & t^2 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \alpha(t) \quad \alpha'(t) \quad \alpha''(t) \right| &= \left| \begin{bmatrix} p_0 & p_1 & p_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_2(t) & B_2'(t) & B_2''(t) \end{bmatrix} \right| \\ &= 4 \left| p_0 \quad p_1 \quad p_2 \right| \end{aligned}$$

So “inflection” only when control points are linearly dependent

The quadratic degenerates to a line, half a line, or a point

Not really an inflection

## CUBICS

$$\text{Let } B_3(t) = \left[ (1-t)^3 \quad 3(1-t)^2t \quad 3(1-t)t^2 \quad t^3 \right]^T$$



## CUBICS

$$\text{Let } B_3(t) = \left[ (1-t)^3 \quad 3(1-t)^2t \quad 3(1-t)t^2 \quad t^3 \right]^T$$

For cubics, we have

$$\left| \alpha(t) \quad \alpha'(t) \quad \alpha''(t) \right| = \left| \begin{bmatrix} p_0 & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_3(t) & B_3'(t) & B_3''(t) \end{bmatrix} \right|$$

## CUBICS

$$\text{Let } B_3(t) = \left[ (1-t)^3 \quad 3(1-t)^2t \quad 3(1-t)t^2 \quad t^3 \right]^T$$

For cubics, we have

$$\left| \alpha(t) \quad \alpha'(t) \quad \alpha''(t) \right| = \left| \begin{bmatrix} p_0 & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_3(t) & B_3'(t) & B_3''(t) \end{bmatrix} \right|$$

Maybe we should give up because the expression is unwieldy...

## CUBICS

$$\text{Let } B_3(t) = \left[ (1-t)^3 \quad 3(1-t)^2t \quad 3(1-t)t^2 \quad t^3 \right]^T$$

For cubics, we have

$$\left| \alpha(t) \quad \alpha'(t) \quad \alpha''(t) \right| = \left| \begin{bmatrix} p_0 & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_3(t) & B_3'(t) & B_3''(t) \end{bmatrix} \right|$$

Maybe we should give up because the expression is unwieldy...

Or we use Lagrange's identity to make it treatable

$$\left| \alpha(t) \quad \alpha'(t) \quad \alpha''(t) \right| = \mathbf{cr}_4(x_{0-3}, y_{0-3}, w_{0-3}) \cdot \mathbf{cr}_4(B_3(t), B_3'(t), B_3''(t))$$

## CUBICS

$$\text{Let } B_3(t) = \left[ (1-t)^3 \quad 3(1-t)^2t \quad 3(1-t)t^2 \quad t^3 \right]^T$$

For cubics, we have

$$\left| \alpha(t) \quad \alpha'(t) \quad \alpha''(t) \right| = \left| \begin{bmatrix} p_0 & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_3(t) & B_3'(t) & B_3''(t) \end{bmatrix} \right|$$

Maybe we should give up because the expression is unwieldy...

Or we use Lagrange's identity to make it treatable

$$\left| \alpha(t) \quad \alpha'(t) \quad \alpha''(t) \right| = \mathbf{cr}_4(x_{0-3}, y_{0-3}, w_{0-3}) \cdot \mathbf{cr}_4(B_3(t), B_3'(t), B_3''(t))$$

This the *inflection polynomial*—a cubic!

Inflections happen when  $t$  is a root

## IN MATHEMATICA...

Show the inflection polynomial

A cubic that reduces to a quadratic in the integral case

Show that inflection points are collinear

## DOUBLE-POINTS IN MATHEMATICA

Given  $t_1, t_2$  of double-point, then  $\gamma(t_1), \gamma(t_2), \gamma(t_3)$  are collinear for all  $t_3$

## DOUBLE-POINTS IN MATHEMATICA

Given  $t_1, t_2$  of double-point, then  $\gamma(t_1), \gamma(t_2), \gamma(t_3)$  are collinear for all  $t_3$   
Falls into the same type of determinant

## DOUBLE-POINTS IN MATHEMATICA

Given  $t_1, t_2$  of double-point, then  $\gamma(t_1), \gamma(t_2), \gamma(t_3)$  are collinear for all  $t_3$

Falls into the same type of determinant

This time, however, we have that the  $\mathbf{cr}_4$  of the control points in the power basis must be collinear with the powers of  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ .



## DOUBLE-POINTS IN MATHEMATICA

Given  $t_1, t_2$  of double-point, then  $\gamma(t_1), \gamma(t_2), \gamma(t_3)$  are collinear for all  $t_3$

Falls into the same type of determinant

This time, however, we have that the  $\mathbf{cr}_4$  of the control points in the power basis must be collinear with the powers of  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ .

Applying row-reduction, we obtain two symmetric bivariate polynomials on  $t_1, t_2$  that must vanish simultaneously

## DOUBLE-POINTS IN MATHEMATICA

Given  $t_1, t_2$  of double-point, then  $\gamma(t_1), \gamma(t_2), \gamma(t_3)$  are collinear for all  $t_3$

Falls into the same type of determinant

This time, however, we have that the  $\mathbf{cr}_4$  of the control points in the power basis must be collinear with the powers of  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ .

Applying row-reduction, we obtain two symmetric bivariate polynomials on  $t_1, t_2$  that must vanish simultaneously

Use resultants to eliminate one of them and solve for the other

## DOUBLE-POINTS IN MATHEMATICA

Given  $t_1, t_2$  of double-point, then  $\gamma(t_1), \gamma(t_2), \gamma(t_3)$  are collinear for all  $t_3$

Falls into the same type of determinant

This time, however, we have that the  $\mathbf{cr}_4$  of the control points in the power basis must be collinear with the powers of  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ .

Applying row-reduction, we obtain two symmetric bivariate polynomials on  $t_1, t_2$  that must vanish simultaneously

Use resultants to eliminate one of them and solve for the other

Results in the double-point polynomial: a quadratic

## DOUBLE-POINTS IN MATHEMATICA

Given  $t_1, t_2$  of double-point, then  $\gamma(t_1), \gamma(t_2), \gamma(t_3)$  are collinear for all  $t_3$

Falls into the same type of determinant

This time, however, we have that the  $\mathbf{cr}_4$  of the control points in the power basis must be collinear with the powers of  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ .

Applying row-reduction, we obtain two symmetric bivariate polynomials on  $t_1, t_2$  that must vanish simultaneously

Use resultants to eliminate one of them and solve for the other

Results in the double-point polynomial: a quadratic

Compare the discriminants of the inflection polynomial and the double-point polynomial and use them to classify the cubics

## REFERENCES

---

- J. F. Blinn. Uppers and downers. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, 12(2):85–92, 1992a.
- J. F. Blinn. Uppers and downers: Part 2. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, 12(3):80–85, 1992b.
- J. F. Blinn. How many rational parametric cubic curves are there? Part 1: Inflection points. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, 19(4): 84–87, 1999a.
- J. F. Blinn. How many different parametric cubic curves are there? Part 2: The “same” game. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, 19(6): 88–92, 1999b.
- J. F. Blinn. How many rational parametric cubic curves are there? Part 3: The catalog. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, 20(2):85–88, 2000.